Version: English

34th Nordic Mathematical Contest Solutions

Problems

- 1. For a positive integer n, denote by g(n) the number of strictly ascending triples chosen from the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Find the least positive integer n such that the following holds: The number g(n) can be written as the product of three different prime numbers which form an arithmetic progression with common difference 336.
- 2. Georg has 2n+1 cards with one number written on each card. On one card the integer 0 is written, and among the rest of the cards, the integers $k=1,\ldots,n$ appear, each twice. Georg wants to place the cards in a row in such a way that the 0-card is in the middle, and for each $k=1,\ldots,n$, the two cards with the number k have the distance k (meaning that there are exactly k-1 cards between them).

For which $1 \le n \le 10$ is this possible?

- 3. Each of the sides AB and CD of a convex quadrilateral ABCD is divided into three equal parts, |AE| = |EF| = |FB|, |DP| = |PQ| = |QC|. The diagonals of AEPD and FBCQ intersect at M and N, respectively. Prove that the sum of the areas of $\triangle AMD$ and $\triangle BNC$ is equal to the sum of the areas of $\triangle EPM$ and $\triangle FNQ$.
- **4.** Find all functions $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x)f\left(f\left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right)\right) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{xy+1}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy $(x+1)(y+1)(xy+1) \neq 0$.

Solutions

These are mainly written as provided by proposers.

Problem 1

Antalet sådana talföljder är $\binom{n}{3}$, eftersom följden bestäms entydigt av de tre tal som ingår i den.

Om $\binom{n}{3}$ är produkten av tre skilda primtal måste n vara udda (annars innehåller n, n-1, n-2 för många faktorer 2), och vi har tre olika möjligheter (där p_i är olika primtal):

Fall 1:
$$n = p_1$$
, $(n - 1) = 6p_2$ och $(n - 2) = p_3$.

Fall 2a:
$$n = 3p_1$$
, $(n - 1) = 2p_2$ och $(n - 2) = p_3$.

Fall 2b: $n = p_1$, $(n - 1) = 2p_2$ och $(n - 2) = 3p_3$.

I fall 1 är $p_1 - p_3 = 2$, så dessa tal kan omöjligtvis ingå i en aritmetisk talföljd med steglängd 336.

I fall 2a får vi $p_1 = \frac{n}{3}$, $p_2 = \frac{n-1}{2}$ och $p_3 = n-2$. Den minsta differensen här är $p_2 - p_1 = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{3} = \frac{n-3}{6}$ och som optimister så hoppas vi på att detta steg är $1 \cdot 336$ och inte mer (vilket är teoretiskt minimum). Vi får då $6 \cdot 336 = n-3$, vilket ger n=2019, och det visar sig då att steget vidare till n-2 är $4 \cdot 336$. Här kan man misstänka att detta är det rätta svaret, och det är därför mödan värt att kolla om 2019/3 = 673, 2018/2 = 1009 och 2017 är primtal, vilket medför lite jobb, men inte så farligt mycket. Värst är att kolla 2017, vilket innebär koll mot primtalen 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43.

Det kvarstår att kontrollera om fall 2b kan ge ett mindre n. Här är den minsta differensen $p_2 - p_3 = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-2}{3} = \frac{n+1}{6}$, och vi hoppas återigen på att detta är $1 \cdot 336$, vilket ger $6 \cdot 336 = n+1$ vilket ger n = 2015, men det är ju delbart med 5, vilket utesluter att $\binom{2015}{3}$ kan skrivas som en produkt av exakt tre primtal.

Problem 2

Let the positions be enumerated from -n to n, and let a_k and $a_k - k$ be the positions of the two cards with the number k. By symmetry around 0, we get that

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k + a_k - k) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

It follows that either n or n+1 is divisible by 4, id est

$$n \in \{3, 4, 7, 8\}.$$

The following shows that each value is also possible

$$\begin{array}{c} 2\ 3\ 2\ 0\ 3\ 1\ 1 \\ 2\ 4\ 2\ 3\ 0\ 4\ 3\ 1\ 1 \\ 5\ 3\ 4\ 7\ 3\ 5\ 4\ 0\ 6\ 2\ 7\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 6 \\ 6\ 8\ 5\ 7\ 1\ 1\ 6\ 5\ 0\ 8\ 7\ 4\ 2\ 3\ 2\ 4\ 3 \end{array}$$

Problem 3

Denote by T(XYZ) the surface area of $\triangle XYZ$ (similarly for quadrilaterals). First we note the identical areas of triangles

$$T(EFP) = T(AEP),$$

 $T(PFQ) = T(QFC),$
 $T(EFQ) = T(FBQ)$ and
 $T(EQP) = T(EPD)$ (1)

The sum of the first two line gives

$$T(EFQP) = T(AEP) + T(QFC)$$

and the third and fourth line give

$$T(EFQP) = T(FBQ) + T(EDP). (2)$$

The sum of the last two lines can be written in a way that is close to the statement of the problem

$$2T(EFQP) = T(AEPD) + T(EPM) - T(AMD) + T(FBCQ) + T(FNQ) - T(BNC).$$

This means the problem is equivalent to showing

$$2T(EFQP) = T(AEPD) + T(FBCQ) \tag{3}$$

[If student gets this far, the solution is worth four points.]

The area of quadrilateral is half the magnitude of the cross product of its diagonals. Letting $\bar{t} = \overrightarrow{EA} + \overrightarrow{DP}$ we can write for the three cross products

$$2T(AEPD) = \overrightarrow{AP} \times \overrightarrow{ED},$$

$$2T(EFQP) = \overrightarrow{EQ} \times \overrightarrow{FP} = (\overrightarrow{AP} + \overline{t}) \times (\overrightarrow{ED} + t) = \overrightarrow{AP} \times \overrightarrow{FP} + \overline{t} \times \overrightarrow{ED} + \overrightarrow{AP} \times \overline{t},$$

$$2T(FBCQ) = \overrightarrow{FC} \times \overrightarrow{BQ} = (\overrightarrow{AP} + 2t) \times (\overrightarrow{ED} + 2t) = \overrightarrow{AP} \times \overrightarrow{ED} + 2\overline{t} \times \overrightarrow{ED} + 2\overline{AP} \times \overline{t}$$

from which the result follows.

Problem 4

Setting y = 1 we obtain

$$f(x)f(f(0)) = f(1)$$

If $f(f(0)) \neq 0$ we can divide and obtain that f(x) = c for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The only such solutions are f(x) = 0 and f(x) = 1. So we can assume f(f(0)) = f(1) = 0.

Setting x = y = 0 we see that $f(0)f(f(1)) = f(0)^2 = f(0) \Rightarrow f(0) = 0$ or 1.

If
$$f(0) = 0$$
 we can set $x = 0$ and get $f(y) = f(0)f\left(f\left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right)\right) = 0$.

Therefore we can assume f(0) = 1 and get

$$f\left(f\left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right)\right) = f(y) \tag{4}$$

Substituting this back into the original equation we obtain

$$f(x)f(y) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{xy+1}\right) \tag{5}$$

Substituting $z = \frac{1-y}{1+y}$ into (4)

$$f(f(z)) = f\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right) \tag{6}$$

We will now prove the lemma that $f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 1$. We know f(1) = 0, so assume f(a) = 0 for some $a \neq 1$. Then by (5),

$$f\left(\frac{x+a}{xa+1}\right) = f(x)f(a) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, -\frac{1}{a}\}$$

If $z = \frac{x+a}{xa+1}$, then $x = \frac{a-z}{az-1}$. So z can take any value in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, \frac{1}{a}\}$ because

$$x = -1 \Leftrightarrow 1 - az = a - z \Leftrightarrow (a - 1)(z + 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow 1 = 0$$

By setting $x = y = \frac{1}{a}$ in (5) we obtain

$$f\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^2 = f\left(\frac{2a}{a^2+1}\right) = f\left(\frac{2}{a+\frac{1}{a}}\right) = f(a)^2 = 0$$

So $f\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 0$ which means that $f(z) = 0 \ \forall z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, which contradicts our assumptions.

Now we will show that $f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow a = b \lor a = \frac{1}{b}$. Assume that $a \neq b$ and f(a) = f(b). We know that $f(b) \neq 0$ by the lemma. By setting x = -y = b in (5) we get

$$f(b)f(-b) = f(0) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{f(b)} = f(-b).$$

Therefore if $a \neq \frac{1}{b}$, we can use (5) and (6) to get

$$0 = f(1) = f\left(\frac{f(a)}{f(b)}\right) = f\left(f(a)f(-b)\right) = f\left(f\left(\frac{a-b}{1-ab}\right)\right) = f\left(\frac{1-\frac{a-b}{1-ab}}{1+\frac{a-b}{1-ab}}\right).$$

So by the lemma:

$$\frac{1 - \frac{a - b}{1 - ab}}{1 + \frac{a - b}{1 - ab}} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1 - ab) - a + b = (1 - ab) + a - b \quad \Rightarrow \quad a = b$$

Combining this result with (6), we see that for each $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$ we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+x} \quad \lor \quad f(x) = \frac{1+x}{1-x}$$

Suppose that $\exists c \notin \{1, 0, -1\}$ such that $d = f(c) = \frac{1+c}{1-c}$. Then

$$f(d) = f(f(c)) = f\left(\frac{1-c}{1+c}\right) = f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \implies$$

$$f(x)f(d) = f\left(\frac{x+d}{xd+1}\right) = f(x)f\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) = f\left(\frac{x+\frac{1}{d}}{\frac{x}{d}+1}\right) = f\left(\frac{xd+1}{x+d}\right)$$

As before, the substitution $z=\frac{x+d}{xd+1}$ ranges over all of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{-1,\frac{1}{d}\}$, giving us that $f(z)=f(\frac{1}{z})$ for all $z\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{-1\}$. This means in particular that $f(\frac{1}{c})=f(c)=\frac{1+c}{1-c}$. But this value is neither $\frac{1+\frac{1}{c}}{1-\frac{1}{c}}$ nor $\frac{1-\frac{1}{c}}{1+\frac{1}{c}}$, leading to a contradiction. Therefore we must have that $f(x)=\frac{1-x}{1+x}$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{-1\}$, which indeed is our final solution.